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A person stands in the middle of an old structure and marvels at what once was a home:

At the audacity of its natural enclave,
At the scenography of its exteriors,
At the wise use of its materials,
At the bold combination of its elements,
At the proportions of its spaces.

It was built 80, 200, 2000 years ago. Its owner was its creator was its user. It was a house made for oneself. Or was it oneself turned into a house? “This house, my portrait of stone. A house that looks like me, or said in another way, a house like me. But which me?”

Upon reading on Curzio Malaparte’s words, a very specific kind of client emerges: that who does not need of an architect to project the way he will live. In other words, if there is an architect, he is not important. While it is not uncommon for clients to impose their wishes on the spaces they are going to inhabit, often to their architects’ disbelieves, rarely does the result manage to generate consensus and go on to become a lasting piece of architecture, a masterpiece, admired by future generations. The idea that a person’s character can be set on stone, that ideals can be translated into living spaces speaks of the genuine personality of these creators/clients.

I
The oldest example that comes to mind is emperor Hadrian, who projected Villa Adriana for himself on the 2nd century AD. In “Memoirs of Hadrian”, Marguerite Yourcenar imagines him writing: “Each building stone was the strange concretion of a will, a memory, and sometimes a challenge. Each structure was the chart of a dream.”

Having been a conqueror, a traveller, a nomad, he envisioned his own house as his final encampment; tents and pavilions made of jasper, porphyry and obsidian.

II
Sir John Soane greatly admired the remains of the villa when he visited it as part of his Grand Tour of Italy. The opulent marbles were long gone, and all there was left were the brick structures of what once was, but the top-lit ruined vaults left a lasting impression on him.

In spite of him being a renowned architect of his time, Soane is almost better known as an art collector and the home he built for himself is certainly not a typical architect’s house.

Inside, walls are hidden from sight, covered by objects upon objects. Mirrors are placed strategically, multiplying the feeling of a never-ending cabinet of treasures and curiosities. However, architecture is not hidden but enhanced, as the carved-like maze of room

---

1 In Google Maps his house is described as “Sir John Soane’s Museum. Former home of eccentric art collector.”
up upon room becomes a treatise on how to get natural light inside a building and how to lit a work of art.

No architect without an art collection would have built a house like this; no art collector without the knowledge of an architect could have built a house like this. “To study Soane is to be faced with the problem of the expression of personality in architecture, for it is surely possible to find in his work reflections of the edginess and vanity, the persecution complex and the unyielding Old Testament morality, the inner conflicts, uncertainties and introspection, which we know were fundamental to his character.”

In his search of the “poetry of architecture”, his house is in essence more aesthetic than it is comfortable. It is a museum more than it is a home.

III

Not one, not two, but three (and maybe even four) architects were commissioned to build El Carmen Blanco (1916-1928), the house and atelier that José María Rodríguez-Acosta envisioned in the mountains of Granada, just outside the Alhambra palaces. They all contributed in some way, but the result is essentially Rodríguez-Acosta’s. “Every spot in the space of this unusual place registers an intention that is emotional or aesthetic. (...) Imprinted are the keys of his artistic thoughts, of his talent, of his curiosity and of his desires.”

Rodríguez-Acosta projected this place as if he were painting. It is a delicate balance of masses and voids, construction and nature, modernity and classicism. As in Sir John Soane’s Museum, each of its fragments is defined individually, but sums up to the result of its complex totality.

IV

On the opposite side of the spectrum stands casa Malaparte (1937-1939). Its vision upon arriving from land or sea is that of a monolith on a cliff. There is no sum of parts but an absolute whole: a purely shaped piece of architecture that looks like a wrecked ship, a bunker and a temple.

Its hard materiality reminds us of the rock on which it stands, its roof resembles the sea’s horizon; but its rotund symmetry is a reminder of its artificiality. It is not the creation of nature but the creation of a man: of his character and of his life experiences, which become embedded in its formal expression.

As with his writing, the house is surreal and poetic and inflexible. It is not a home, it is a stage for a life, albeit a very particular one.

... 

A person looks at pictures of a new structure and marvels at what is, right now, a home:

At the audacity of its natural enclaves, 
At the scenography of its exteriors, 
At the wise use of its materials, 
At the bold combination of its elements, 
At the proportions of its spaces.

It is an ongoing construction that started on 1968. Its owner is its creator is its user. It is a house made for oneself. Or is it oneself turned into a house?

V

Set in Esplugues de Llobregat, on the outskirts of Barcelona, sculptor Xavier Corberó’s house has been generating interest and curiosity for a while. He bought the land almost 50 years ago, and slow but steady, he has been building a home that is not a house, but an intricate labyrinth of modernly interpreted classical shapes bathed in sunlight.

It has the volumetric complexity of Ricardo Bofill’s “cities in space” projects from the seventies and the playfulness of the English follies. In spite of this, it is profoundly personal. It has no other purpose but to be the home of his sculptures and a daily inspiration source for him and the artists he has in residence.

It is also the closest we can get to learning the process of one of these houses on real time. These words are all his own, but they seem to define the mind of others:

“I wanted to create, to the extent feasible, a continuum; a place in which the real space is not as important as the mental space.” Corberó or Hadrian?
“I always consider things in themselves. Rooms are considered to remain exactly as they are, and maybe to lodge a sculpture, or some piece of furniture. It possesses utility in itself, not a defining function.” Corberó or Soane?

“What I try to do does not stem from reason. It comes from life itself. I use reason to build things up, so they don’t fall to pieces. But the motives behind all the rest are aesthetic, ethic and, if you will, divine”. Corberó or Rodríguez-Acosta?

“The outcome of what I do has to be poetry, which I believe is the measure of all things” Corberó or Malaparte?

An emperor, an art collector, a painter, a writer and a sculptor. Only one of them was an architect, or were they all?
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